

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1439 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/337,675	06/22/1999	RAJEEV A. JAIN	029318/0497	9275
75	590 08/19/2003			
FOLEY & LARDNER 3000 K STREET, SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 200075109		EXAMINER		
			PULLIAM	PULLIAM, AMY E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	7 /
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2003)4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory	Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
09/337,675		JAIN ET AL.		
Examin r		Art Unit		
	Amy E Pulliam	1615		

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh t with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

Continuation Sh et (PTOL-303) 09/337,675

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the exmainer maintains her positions set forth in the final office action, issue April 9, 2003. In this action, the exaniner pointed out several problems with Applicant's arguments and with the declaration. These problems still remain. For example, applicant's arguments center around a comparison of an immediate release dosage form with a controlled release dosage form. However, there has still been no evidence provided that the formulation of Liversidge does not have a release rate which falls within the release rate claimed by Applicant.. Furthermore, the examiner pointed out in the final action that the formulations used in the declaration appear to be much more specific than the instant claims. Applicant himself has admitted that making controlled release nanoparticulate compositions is very difficult, can be drug dependant, and requires several specific components for success. However, the claims do not reflect these admissions. For these reasons, the examiner finds that the cited references still suggest the limitations of Applicant's broad claims.

THURMAN PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600